





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/755,515	01/05/2001	Shihong Yu	0739D-000086	7460	
7590 11/12/2003			EXAMINER		
Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.			VU, STEPHEN A		
P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3636	· · -	
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	-	09/755,515	YU, SHIHONG					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	$\overline{}$				
		Stephen A Vu	3636	/				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	'ess				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tition.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing yeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this comi  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>26 August 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16-35</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>16-27 and 29-35</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) <u>28</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR					
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* (3)   / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dince a specific reference was included in 7 CFR 1.78.  (a) The translation of the foreign langual acknowledgment is made of a claim for dieference was included in the first sentence	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). r a list of the certified copies not omestic priority under 35 U.S.C. the first sentence of the specific age provisional application has bomestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National State received. If \$ 119(e) (to a provisional acation or in an Application Decemoreceived. If \$ 120 and/or 121 since a	application) ata Sheet. specific				
Attachmen	ut(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (P10-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-1					

Art Unit: 3636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16-25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ryan.

Ryan shows a seat assembly comprising a seat (14), a seat back (16) connected to the seat (14), and a fold-flat hinge assembly (10) including: a support member (18), an arm (98) pivotally supported by the support member, mounting the seat back, and including a plurality of gear teeth (96) formed thereon. A shaft (106) is rotatably supported within a first slot of the support member and a blocking pin is slidably supported by the support member and in mechanical communication with the shaft. The blocking pin is slidable to a first position wherein the blocking pin prevents forward rotational motion of the arm relative to the support member; and a gear (90) fixedly attached to the shaft and interacting with the gear teeth of the arm to move the blocking pin to a second position wherein the arm is free to rotate relative to the support member and whereby the seat back is rotatable relative to the seat.

With claims 17 and 22, at least one lever arm is pivotally attached to the support member at a pivot point and wherein the lever arm rotatably supports the shaft at a first

Art Unit: 3636

end and a link arm at a second end, with the link arm further connecting to the sliding pin.

With claims 18 and 23, a slot is formed in the arm and a stop pin is attached to the support member. The slot slidably interfaces the stop pin for defining a rotational range of motion of the arm relative to the support member.

With claim 20, the arm is able to rotate relative to the support member when the shaft is in the second position.

With claims 19 and 24, the shaft is also slidably supported in the first slot by the support member for sliding from a first position to a second position in response to the gear interacting with the gear teeth for moving the blocking pin to the second position.

With claim 25, the arm can rotate relative to the support member when said shaft is in said second position.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 3636

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 26-27 and 29-35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryan in view of Weston et al.

Ryan discloses the claimed invention except for the seat hinge to have an electric motor for rotating the shaft. Weston et al teaches the use of an electric motor (C) for rotating the back relative to the seat. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to employ an electric motor as taught by Weston et al to the seat hinge of Ryan's invention to rotate the shaft, without the need for manual adjustment by the user.

With claim 31, at least one lever arm is pivotally attached to the support member at a pivot point and wherein the lever arm rotatably supports the shaft at a first end and a link arm at a second end, with the link arm further connecting to the sliding pin.

With claim 32, a slot is formed in the arm and a stop pin is attached to the support member. The slot slidably interfaces the stop pin for defining a rotational range of motion of the arm relative to the support member.

With claim 33, the shaft is also slidably supported in the first slot by the support member for sliding from a first position to a second position in response to the gear interacting with the gear teeth for moving the blocking pin to the second position.

Art Unit: 3636

With claim 34, the arm can rotate relative to the support member when said shaft is in said second position.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 28 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 26, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

#### Remarks

The examiner has reviewed and considered the comments in the Response, filed on April 8, 2003. It's the examiner's position that claims 16-25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ryan and claims 26-27 and 29-35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryan in view of Weston et al. The Applicant has argued that the prior art of "Ryan fails to disclose a blocking pin slidably supported by a lower support member"," a shaft in mechanical communication with a blocking pin", and an electric motor. The examiner disagrees with these arguments. First of all, the blocking pin is construed to be the structural element in the direct line of sight with member 134. Second of all, the shaft 106 is in mechanical communication.

with the blocking pin, as a whole with the invention. Lastly, Ryan does not show the use of the electric motor to power his seat hinge mechanism. Therefore, the secondary reference of Weston et al has been applied to teach the application of an electric motor with a seat hinge mechanism. It would have been obvious to incorporate the electric motor as taught by Weston et al to power Ryan's seat hinge mechanism.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A Vu whose telephone number is 703-308-1378. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M Cuomo can be reached on 703-308-0827. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 3636

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Stephen Vu

November 9, 2003

Peter M. Cuomo Supervisory Patent Examiner Page 7

Technology Center 3600